Genetic diversity of Azotobacter strains isolated from various soils of Poland

Monika Kozieł, Anna Gałązka, Stefan Martyniuk

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation – State Research Institute (IUNG-PIB) ul. Czartoryskich 8, 24-100 Puławy, POLAND

Abstract. Free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria belonging to the genus Azotobacter are microorganisms commonly occurring in soil. The genus Azotobacter includes 8 species, with Azotobacter chroococcum most commonly inhabiting many soils all over the world. This study aims to isolate and to identify the Azotobacter bacteria from various soils of Poland using RFLP analysis of the bacterial Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. 56 strains were isolated from tested soils, using the dilution-pour plates method. Restriction analysis of the bacterial ITS region was used for the characterization and differentiation of the isolated strains. It indicated that the studied isolates were not identical. Among the 56 tested strains, 36 were characterized by the same genotype in ITS-PCR/RFLP analyzes as the reference strains A. chroococcum DSM281 and DSM2286. For molecular identification of the isolates, the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using 27f and 1492r primers and PCR products were sequenced. These results indicate that the analyzed isolates from Polish soils belong to one species, namely Azotobacter chroococcum.

Keywords: Azotobacter chroococcum, soil, nitrogen fixation, genetic diversity, 16S rRNA gene

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Azotobacter* comprises free-living, aerobic, heterotrophic Gram-negative bacteria with the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. This genus belongs to the family *Pseudomonadaceae* from the subclass γ -*Proteobacteria* (Rubio et al., 2013; Robson et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018; Khosravi, Dolatabad, 2020). Eight species and two subspecies have been validly named in this genus:

- Azotobacter armeniacus (Thomson, Skerman, 1979),

Azotobacter beijerinckii (Lipman, 1904),

- Azotobacter bryophylli (Liu et al., 2019),
- Azotobacter chroococcum (Beijerinck, 1901) (subsp. chroococcum (Jin et al., 2020) and subsp. isscasi (Jin et al., 2020),
- Azotobacter nigricans (Krasil'nikov, 1949) (subsp. achromogenes (Thomson, Skerman, 1979) and subsp. nigricans (Howey et al., 1990),
- Azotobacter paspali (Döbereiner, 1966),
- Azotobacter salinestris (Page, Shivprasad, 1991),
- Azotobacter vinelandii (Lipman, 1903).

Azotobacter representatives can commonly be found in soil, water, sediments, rhizosphere and phyllosphere environments (Aquilanti et al., 2004; Mazinani, Asgharzadeh, 2014; Zhang et al., 2019; Aasfar et al., 2021). Among the above-listed species, Azotobacter chroococcum is the most widely distributed in soils all over the world, including Poland (Ziemięcka, 1923: Martyniuk, Martyniuk, 2003; Lenart, 2012; Kozieł, Gałązka, 2021). Azotobacter spp. prefers neutral and slightly alkaline soils and populations of these bacteria rarely exceed several thousand cells per gram of soil. In acid soils (pH < 6.0) these bacteria are generally absent or occur in very low numbers (Martyniuk, 2008; Mazinani, Asgharzadeh, 2014; Andjelković et al., 2018). In addition, the occurrence and population size of this group of bacteria are influenced by many other environmental factors, such as soil physicochemical (organic matter content, moisture, fertility, C/N ratio) and microbiological properties or climatic conditions. However, the abundance varies as per the depth of the soil profile (Tejera et al., 2005; Bag et al., 2017; Mahato, Kafle, 2018).

Azotobacter spp. is considered an important fertilizing agent that contributes to the N availability and substitutes chemical fertilizers and produces secondary metabolites that are not present in artificial fertilizers (Subedi et al., 2019). Among biofertilizers, bacteria belonging to the genus Azotobacter play a key role in the nitrogen cycle in nature that binds atmospheric nitrogen inaccessible to plants and releases it in the form of ammonium ions available to

Corresponding author: Monika Kozieł e-mail: mkoziel@iung.pulawy.pl phone: +48 81 4786 952

plants in the soil fixing an average 10–20 kg N ha⁻¹ per year (Sivasakthi et al., 2017; Mahato, Kafle, 2018). The other beneficial effects include the ability to produce of growth hormones like gibberellin, auxin, cytokinin, vitamins, siderophores and growth substances responsible for seed germination, protection against root pathogens, stimulation of beneficial rhizospheric microorganisms and enhancement of plant yield (Mahato, Kafle, 2018; Sumbul et al., 2020; Wakarera et al., 2022).

The analysis of soil microbial diversity is relevant to define soil quality. Molecular methods based on PCR techniques such as restriction analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, 16S-18S rDNA, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis have been used to identify numerous microorganisms. The 16S rRNA gene is approximately 1500 bp long and consists of variable regions interspaced with more conserved regions (Winand et al., 2019). Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene was used to confirm the systematic position of numerous bacterial isolates (Obele et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2020; Wakarera et al., 2022).

The present study was performed in order to analyze the genetic diversity of *Azotobacter* strain isolated from soil samples taken in various regions of Poland and to identify these isolates using RLFP analysis of the bacterial Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soils and isolation of Azotobacter spp.

In this study, 35 soils collected in 12 voivodeships of Poland were used (Table 1). Number of colony forming units (CFU) of Azotobacter spp. in the examined soils were assessed by the dilution-pour plates method (Fenglerowa, 1965) on N-free agar medium containing: K₂HPO₄ 0.5 g, MgSO₄ 0.2 g, NaCl 0.2 g, CaCO₃ 5 g, sucrose 10 g, agar 12 g, H₂O distilled 1000 ml and traces of Mn, Fe and Mo. Bacteria of the Azotobacter genus exhibited robust growth on this medium, developing substantial, lustrous, and mucilaginous colonies. Following an incubation period of 5-7 days, these colonies underwent a distinctive transformation, adopting a rich, dark brown hue. This phenomenon highlights the unique characteristics and dynamic behavior of Azotobacter representatives in response to the provided environment. Randomly selected colonies were transferred to fresh N-free agar medium and purified. Subsequently, all isolates were inoculated on agar slants containing 20 g glucose, 12 g agar, 0.8 g K₂HPO₄, 0.2 g KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g MgSO₄ 7 H₂O, 0.05 g CaCl₂, 0.025 g FeCl₃ 6 H₂O and 0.005 g Na₂MoO₄ · 2 H₂O in 1000 cm³ of distilled water (Thomson, Skerman, 1979) and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. In total 56 bacterial isolates were obtained. In the molecular analyses the following reference species were also used: Azotobacter armeniacus DSM2284, Azotobacter chroococcum DSM281, DSM2286, Azotobacter salinestris DSM11553, Azotobacter vinelandii DSM2289, Azomonas agilis DSM375, Azomonas macrocytogenes DSM721, which were provided by the Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH.

The DNA extraction procedures

The DNA isolation was carried out using the Master Pure Complete DNA and RNA purification Kit. 10 ml of 48 hours-culture of the bacteria grown in liquid N-free medium was centrifuged at 12.000 rpm for 2 min. The pellet was resuspended in 300 μ l of a lysis buffer containing 1 μ l of proteinase K and incubated at 65 °C for 15 minutes, followed by cooling down for 5-10 minutes. 1 µl RNase A was added to the mixture, vortexed vigorously for 30-60 sec., and incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes. The mixture was placed in an ice bath for 5 minutes and then 150 µl MPC Protein Precipitation Reagent was added and mixed and then centrifuged at 4 °C for 10 minutes at 10.000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred to a 2 ml tube and 500 µl isopropanol was added, mixed thoroughly, and centrifuged again (10 min, 10.000 rpm). The pellet was then washed twice with 70% ethyl alcohol ($2 \times 150 \mu l$), each time followed by centrifugation (5 minutes, 10.000 rpm). The DNA pellet was suspended in 75 µl of sterile Milli-Q water and stored at -20 °C (Obele et al., 2019).

Restriction Analysis of the ITS Region

PCR amplification of the rDNA ITS region was performed according to the procedure proposed by Kwon et al. (2005) with two primers: R16-1 (5'-CTTGTACACAC-CGCCCGTCA-3') and R23-3R (5'-GGTACTTAGAT-GTTTCAGTTC-3'). Each PCR mixture contained the following: 0.25 µl each primer, 0.4 µl dNTPs, 5 µl PCR buffer 10x (Thermo Scientific), 0.75 µl DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific), and 1 µl genomic DNA in a final reaction volume of 50 µl. The DNA thermal cycler (Biometra) used for PCR amplification was programmed as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min., 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min., annealing at 58 °C for 1 min. and elongation at 72 °C for 2 min., and final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products (5 µl) were electrophoresed in 1.5% agarose gel in 1×TAE buffer for 1.5 h at 80 V. The gel was analyzed with UV-transilluminator (Transluminator Quantum ST4) and BIO-1D++. The PCR products were digested with 5U of restriction enzymes HaeIII and MspI at 37 °C for 5 h in a final volume of 20 µl, as recommended by the manufacturer. The digests were run by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels in 1×TAE buffer for 2 h at 80 V.

| Soil number [#] | Soil type or granulometric group | Voivodeship | pН | Number of <i>Azotobacter</i> spp. [cfu in 1 g of soil d.m.] | Symbol of the <i>Azotobacter</i> stra isolated |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 143 | clayey silt | małopolskie | 5.3 | 98 | A143-1 |
| | | _ | | | A143-2 |
| 284 | loess | małopolskie | 5.4 | 218 | A284-1 |
| | | | | | A284-2 |
| 340 | weakly loamy sand | podkarpackie | 5.2 | 460 | A340-1 |
| | | | | | A340-2 |
| | | | | | A340-3 |
| 374 | loess | małopolskie | 7.3 | 5125 | A374-1 |
| | 1 1 | | () | 12025 | A374-2 |
| 384 | sandy loam | podkarpackie | 6.2 | 12925 | A384-1 |
| 415 | 1 | (11.). | () | 20075 | A384-2 |
| 415 | loamy sand | śląskie | 6.3 | 29975 | A415 |
| 484 | rendzina | świętokrzyskie | 6.8 | 11000 | A484-1 A484-2 |
| 597 | loess | świętokrzyskie | 6.6 | 14525 | A484-2 A597-1 |
| 397 | 100555 | SWIĘIOKIŻYSKIE | 0.0 | 14323 | A597-2 |
| 600 | loamy sand | podkarpackie | 6.9 | 1418 | A600-1 |
| 000 | ioaniy sand | роакаграские | 0.7 | 1410 | A600-2 |
| 663 | silt | podkarpackie | 7.5 | 3 | A663 |
| 725 | silt | podkarpackie | 7.0 | 120 | A725 |
| 727 | silt | podkarpackie | 6.4 | 1540 | A727-1 |
| , 2, | Silt | pounuipuente | 0.1 | 1010 | A727-2 |
| | | | | | A727-3 |
| 784 | loess | świętokrzyskie | 5.7 | 19600 | A784 |
| 786 | sandy loam | świętokrzyskie | 7.8 | 1208 | A786-1 |
| | 2 | | | | A786-2 |
| 787 | loamy sand | świętokrzyskie | 7.7 | 1820 | A787 |
| 819 | clayey silt | dolnośląskie | 6.1 | 4980 | A819-1 |
| | | | | | A819-2 |
| | | | | | A819-3 |
| 850 | silt | świętokrzyskie | 6.7 | 2150 | A850 |
| 894 | sandy loam | opolskie | 7.0 | 25 | A894 |
| 1820 | weakly loamy sand | lubuskie | 6.5 | 148 | A1820-1 |
| | | | | | A1820-2 |
| 1940 | loamy sand | lubuskie | 6.9 | 14875 | A1940 |
| 2070 | luvisol | lubuskie | 6.9 | 10 | A2070 |
| 2684 | sandy loam | kujawsko-pomorskie | 6.7 | 5525 | A2684 |
| 3112 | cambisol | pomorskie | 6.9 | 8500 | A3112 |
| 4065 | clay | pomorskie | 6.8 | 3070 | A4065-1 |
| | | | | | A4065-2 |
| | | | | | A4065-3 |
| 4165 | silt | kujawsko-pomorskie | 6.6 | 45800 | A4165 |
| 4424 | clayey silt | pomorskie | 6.5 | 4825 | A4424 |
| 4539 | clay | warmińsko-mazurskie | 6.6 | 6325 | A4539-1 |
| | | | | | A4539-2 |
| 4604 | clayey silts | dolnośląskie | 6.4 | 4850 | A4604-1 |
| | | | | | A4604-2 |
| 4625 | luvisol | dolnośląskie | 6.4 | 1740 | A4625 |
| 4733 | loamy sand | świętokrzyskie | 6.3 | 40000 | A4733-1 |
| | | | | | A4733-2 |

Table 1. Soils used for isolation of *Azotobacter* spp.

| | | | | | Table 1 continuation |
|------|------------|----------------|-----|-------|----------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 4761 | silt | świętokrzyskie | 6.9 | 16000 | A4761 |
| 4791 | silt | lubelskie | 6.7 | 100 | A4791-1 A4791-2 |
| 4803 | light loam | lubelskie | 7.4 | 1925 | A4803 |
| 4811 | silt | lubelskie | 7.3 | 25 | A4811 |
| 4813 | light loam | lubelskie | 6.2 | 470 | A4813-1 |
| | | | | | |

acc. to sample site localization number

PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene of the bacterial species

Genomic 16S rRNA was amplified using polymerase chain reaction amplification processes by mixing a set of universal primers 27f (5'-AGAGTTTGATCATG-GCTCAG-3') as forward primer and 1492r (5'-GGT-TACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') as the reverse primer (Lane, 1991; Gauri et al., 2009). A typical PCR reaction mixture was prepared by mixing 12.5 µl Dream Taq Green PCR Master Mix, 1 µl primer 27f, 1 µl primer 1492r, 8.5 µl of MilliQ water and 2 μ l of the template DNA. The entire reaction mixture was made up to 25 µl in total volume. The PCR reaction mixture tubes were placed on Biometra thermo cycler machine to run 35 cycles program under a given PCR conditions for an initial denaturation at 95 °C in 2 minutes (1 cycle), followed by denaturation at 95 °C in 30 seconds, annealing at 52 °C for 1 minute, extension at 72 °C for 1.5 minutes (35 cycles) and finally extension at 72 °C for 10 minutes (1 cycle) and hold at 4 °C (Obele et al., 2019; Khosravi, Dolatabad, 2020). The amplified 16S rRNA gene products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis after staining with ethidium bromide solution for 1 h 30 minutes at 80 V. The 50-2000 Perfect Plus ™ 2 kb DNA fragment size marker was used as a standard for the size of the DNA bands.

Sequencing of the amplified 16S rRNA gene

The 16S rRNA gene amplification products were sequenced. The obtained nucleotide sequences were compared with the published nucleotide sequences of closely related bacteria-type strains deposited in the GenBank National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database and Ribosomal Database Project *via* BLAST searches.

Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was used to compare the relationship between isolates genotype and the soil properties. The statistical analysis was performed by Statistica v. 13.3 software. The coefficients are significant, with p < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacteria belonging to the genus *Azotobacter* form on selective N-free agar media represented by large, glistening, and slimy colonies, and when colonies turn dark brown after 5–7 days of incubation this indicates that such colonies were formed by *A. chroococcum* (Martyniuk, Martyniuk, 2003; Lenart, 2012; Hindersah et al., 2020). Most colonies in plates inoculated with the studied soils had such an appearance, therefore one to three randomly selected colonies were re-isolated and purified to gain 56 isolates for further molecular studies (Table 1).

Restriction analysis of the bacterial Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region was used to assess the genetic diversity of the isolates. This technique is often used for the assessment of biodiversity, and genetic analysis of microbial populations, as well as for the preliminary identification of microbial species (Khan et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Łyszcz, Gałązka, 2017). As a result of the amplification of the ITS region one PCR product ~1000 bp was obtained for all 56 isolated strains and 7 reference strains. The endonucleases of HaeIII and MspI were used for restriction of PCR products. The obtained products of restriction digestion gave banding patterns characteristic for individual isolates, which were compared by analyzing their number and distribution. The restriction patterns obtained for each enzyme were marked with big letters (Table 2) and the examples of these patterns are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The presence of a large number of polymorphic bands, when using the same endonucleases demonstrates that there are differences within the sequence of the 16S-23S rDNA fragments and therefore, there is a large genetic diversity in the tested bacterial strains. As a result of digestion of the ITS fragment with the HaeIII enzyme, 2 electrophoretic profiles (A, B) were obtained for the tested strains (Fig. 1) and 4 electrophoretic profiles (A, B, C, D) for the reference strains. Using the digestion of the ITS fragment with the

T 1 1 1

| Table 2. Restriction patterns obtained for reference strains and Azotobacter strains isolated from soil by ITS-PCR, using the restriction | |
|---|--|
| enzymes <i>Hae</i> III and <i>Msp</i> I. | |

| Strain | Restriction patterns | | – Genotype | Constrais group |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| Suain | HaeIII | MspI | Genotype | Genotypic group |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Azotobacter armeniacus DSM2284 | В | F | BF | VII |
| Azotobacter chroococcum DSM281 | В | В | BB | IV |
| Azotobacter chroococcum DSM2286 | А | С | AC | III |
| Azotobacter salinestris DSM11553 | В | F | BF | VII |
| Azotobacter vinelandii DSM2289 | D | F | DF | VIII |
| Azomonas agilis DSM375 | C | D | CD | V |
| Azomonas macrocytogenes DSM721 | C | Е | CE | VI |
| A143-1 | A | A | AA | I |
| A143-2 | A | A | AA | I |
| A284-1 | A | A | AA | I |
| A284-1 A284-2 | A | A | AA | I |
| A340-1 | | | AA | |
| | A | A | | I |
| A340-2 | A | A | AA | I |
| A340-3 | A | A | AA | I |
| A374-1 | Α | В | AB | II |
| A374-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A384-1 | А | С | AC | III |
| A384-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A415 | А | A | AA | Ι |
| A484-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A484-2 | А | А | AA | Ι |
| A597-1 | А | А | AA | Ι |
| A597-2 | А | А | AA | Ι |
| A600-1 | Α | В | AB | II |
| A600-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A663 | А | В | AB | II |
| A725 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A727-1 | <u>–</u> B | B | BB | IV |
| A727-2 | Ā | В | AB | II |
| A727-3 | A | B | AB | II |
| A784 | В | B | BB | IV |
| A786-1 | B | B | BB | IV |
| A786-2 | B | B | BB | IV |
| | | | | |
| A787 | A | <u> </u> | AC | III |
| A819-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A819-2 | А | A | AA | I |
| A819-3 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A850 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A894 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A1820-1 | А | С | AC | III |
| A1820-2 | Α | С | AC | III |
| A1940 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A2070 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A2684 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A3112 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4065-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4065-2 | А | А | AA | Ι |
| A4065-3 | A | A | AA | I |
| A4165 | A | A | AA | I |
| A4405 A4424 | B | B | BB | IV |
| 11747 | D | D | מט | 1 V |

| | | | | Table 2 continuation |
|---------|---|---|----|----------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A4539-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4539-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4604-1 | А | С | AC | III |
| A4604-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4625 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4733-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4733-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4761 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4791-1 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4791-2 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4803 | В | В | BB | IV |
| A4811 | А | С | AC | III |
| A4813 | В | В | BB | IV |



Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction fragments obtained from *Hae*III digestion of the 16S-23S rDNA for some *Azotobacter* strains. M – molecular weight ladder (1000–100 bp).



Figure 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of restriction fragments obtained from *MspI* digestion of the 16S-23S rDNA for some *Azotobacter* strains. M – molecular weight ladder (1000–100 bp).

*Msp*I enzyme, 3 different electrophoretic profiles (A, B, C) were obtained for the tested strains (Fig. 2), and 5 profiles for the reference strains (B, C, D, E, F).

The combined analysis of the ITS restriction patterns (HaeIII + MspI) of the tested isolates and reference strains of the genus *Azotobacter* and *Azomonas* allowed the assignment of these bacteria to eight different genotypes. These analyzes showed that the tested isolates belong to four different genotypes, while the reference strains included in the analysis form six other unique genotypes (Table 2). Among the 56 analyzed *Azotobacter* spp. iso-

lates, as many as 30 of them showed the same genotype IV – BB, 15 strains represented the genotype I – AA, 6 isolates were characterized by the genotype III – AC, while the other 5 isolates represented the common genotype II – AB. The percentage share of the tested isolates within the individual genotype groups is shown in Fig. 3. Among the four ITS groups, 2 main groups were distinguished: IV – constituting 53% of all strains and I – constituting 27% of all strains. The percentage share of the other two ITS groups was much lower and amounted to: group III (11%) and group II (9%).



Figure 3. Percentage of tested *Azotobacter* spp. strains within particular genotype groups.

Only two of the seven reference strains were characterized by genotypes similar to the tested isolates, and they were *A. chroococcum* DSM281 representing the genotype group IV – BB, and *A. chroococcum* DSM2286 included in the group III – AC. The remaining reference strains were characterized by a different arrangement of bands in the agarose gel and on this basis they were classified into four different genotypic groups, namely V – CD (*Azomonas agilis* DSM375), VI – CE (*Azomonas macrocytogenes* DSM721), VII – BF (*Azotobacter armeniacus* DSM2284, *Azotobacter salinestris* DSM11553) and VIII – DF (*Azotobacter vinelandii* DSM2289). The results of ITS-PCR/RFLP genotyping presented above clearly indicate that the 36 tested strains had genotypes very similar to the two reference strains *Azotobacter chroococcum* DSM281 and DSM2286 (Table 2).

Lenart (2012) using the ITS-PCR/RFLP technique with HindIII restrictase showed the same band pattern for all examined strains. In our study, two restriction enzymes (HaeIII and MspI) were used, and 2 or 3 restriction patterns (genetic profiles) were obtained respectively for the examined Azotobacter isolates. Even greater genetic diversity of these isolates (4 genetic profiles) was demonstrated after combining both restriction patterns obtained after digestion with HaeIII and MspI endonucleases. The results of the analysis of genetic variability of the tested Azotobacter spp. isolates presented above indicate that they are very similar to the results of research by various authors dealing with the genetic diversity of the discussed group of bacteria, also using other molecular methods. Using the ARDRA technique for 24 Azotobacter isolates from Indian soils, Jain et al. (2021) obtained 2 genetic profiles while 3 genetic clusters were distinguished for 13 isolates from Columbia soils (2011). Greater genetic diversity (6 profiles) of 31 strains belonging to the genus of Azotobacter isolated from Argentine soils was demonstrated by Rubio et al. (2013) using Rep-PCR (BOX-AIR) technique. Khosravi and Dolatabad (2020) studied the molecular differentiation and diversity analysis of Azotobacter species and reported that the ARDRA technique with HpaII, BOX, and

Table 3. Strains selected for 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

| Genotype group/Genotype | Strain |
|-------------------------|--|
| I / AA | A143-1, A284-2, A340-1, A415, A597-1, A4065-2, A4165 |
| II / AB | A374-1, A600-1, A663 |
| III / AC | A384-1, A1820-1, A4604-1 |
| IV / BB | A384-2, A484-1, A725, A727-1, A784, A819-1, A850, A1940, A2684, A3112, A4424, A4539-2, |
| | A4625, A4733-1, A4761, A4791-1, A4803 |



Figure 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of amplified 16S rRNA products (product size 1500 bp) of selected *Azotobacter* spp. strains. M – molecular weight ladder (DNA Perfect Plus[™] 2 kb (2000–50 bp)); K(-) – negative control. REP PCR based markers was able to differentiate between *A. chroococcum* and *A. salinestris*. Swapna et al. (2018) confirmed the genetic diversity of *Azotobacter* spp. isolated from rhizosphere soil of chilli using RAPD.

Of the 56 tested strains, 36 were characterized by the same genotype in ITS-PCR/RFLP analyzes as the reference strains A. chroococcum DSM281 and DSM2286, which clearly indicated their belonging to the species Azotobacter chroococcum and these were AC and BB profiles. The remaining isolates with restriction patterns AA and AB showed no similarity to any of the reference strains used (Table 2). Then the phylogenetic analyzes based on the sequencing of the gene encoding 16S rRNA were performed and results for 20 isolates of the group with patterns AC and BB and 10 isolates from the other two groups (Table 3) were used in the paper. Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is one of the most commonly methods used for identifying and checking the degree of genetic similarity between strains of Azotobacter spp. Janda and Abbott (2007) showed that 90% of bacteria at the genus level and 86% at the species level could be reliably identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

As a result of amplification of 16S rRNA genes one PCR product a 1500 bp was obtained for all 30 examined strains and an example of this analyze is shown in Fig. 4.

Based on the sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, the tested isolates were identified as Azotobacter chroococcum species. All isolates showed similarity >90% (from 98% to 100%) to the species Azotobacter chroococcum. 100% similarity to the Azotobacter chroococcum showed two strains named A727-1 and A3112. Based on the literature data, it can be concluded that the comparative analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequence is currently the most frequently used for phylogenetic studies of Azotobacter spp. at the genus and species level. Obele et al. (2019) in their research obtained a 96% degree of similarity of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of isolated strains belonging to the genus Azotobacter with the reference strain Azotobacter chroococcum deposited in the RDP Gen Bank database. Isolates used for research by Kizilkaya et al. (2010) was also identified by sequencing the 16S rRNA gene to the species Azotobacter chroococcum. These isolates were closely related to the reference strain A. chroococcum DSM2286T, and the level of 16S rRNA sequence similarity between these strains and the reference strain ranged from 99.3% to 100%. Wakarera et al. (2022) used 16S rRNA gene sequencing to identify strains isolated from semi-arid areas of Eastern Kenya. The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) analysis of their sequences revealed the presence of three main Azotobacter species like: Azotobacter vinelandii, Azotobacter salinestris and Azotobacter tropicalis. Similar research was conducted by Chen et al. (2018). Ninety-eight Azotobacter strains were isolated from 27 paddy fields, and 16S rRNA gene sequences were used to identify Azotobacter species. Of these isolates, 50 isolates were identified as *A. chroococcum*, which was the dominant species in this study; 30 isolates could be assigned to *A. beijerinckii*, 16 isolates were identified as *A. tropicalis* and only two isolates of *A. vinelandii* were obtained.

The results of molecular identification of the Azotobacter strains isolates from Polish soils clearly indicate that they belong to the Azotobacter chroococcum species. Similar results were obtained by Lenart (2012) which were based on the physiological and morphological analyses, all 43 isolated strains from Małopolskie and Śląskie voivodeships in southern Poland were defined as Azotobacter chroococcum. It can be stated that this species is the most abundant in Polish soils. In other studies, Lenart-Boroń et al. (2014) identified 59 Azotobacter spp. strains isolated from contaminated soils at the steelworks in Nowa Huta and from agricultural and forest soils in this area, using physiological tests and microscopic observations. The vast majority of the tested isolates were identified to the species A. chroococcum, but the presence of the other two species, A. vinelandii and A. salinestris, in the tested soils was also demonstrated. The results presented above have shown that Azotobacter chroococcum is the most common species representing free-living diazotrophic bacteria in Polish soils, however further studies are needed on environmental factors affecting the occurrence and diversity of these bacteria in soils of Poland.

An attempt was also made to found relationships between the genetic diversity of these isolates and their origin. It seems that there relationships between the genetic diversity of strains and their origin from a specific voivodenships may exist. For example, four out of five Azotobacter strains of the AB genotype (A600-1, A663, A727-2 and A727-3) were isolated from the soils of the Podkarpackie voivodeship, and one (A374-1) from the neighboring voivodeship (Table 1). Moreover, all isolates from acidic soils (A143-1 and -2, A284-1 and -2, A340-1, -2 and -3) were included in the group with the AA genetic profile, but this group also included isolates with neutral pH soils, which indicates that these relationships are not exact. From the above-mentioned three acidic soils, two or three isolates were isolated and all of them were characterized by the same genetic profile (AA), which would indicate the lack of genetic diversity of Azotobacter spp. bacteria in acidic soils. This interesting phenomenon requires further and broader molecular studies with larger populations of isolates of the discussed bacteria. Genetic profile tested Azotobacter isolates were not correlated (p<0.05) with soil type or granulometric group (Table 1).

CONCLUSIONS

1. Based on the performed diagnostic analyses, all isolated strains were defined as *Azotobacter chroococcum* – it can be stated that this species is the most abundant in Polish soils. 2. Restriction analysis of ITS region has shown that the tested isolates were not identical. Only 36 strains were characterized by the same genotype in ITS-PCR/RFLP analyzes as the reference strains *A. chroococcum* DSM281 and DSM2286.

3. Based on the sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, the tested isolates were identified as *Azotobacter chroococcum* species. All isolates showed similarity >90% (from 98% to 100%) to the *Azotobacter chroococcum* of the reference type strains deposited in Gen Bank database.

REFERENCES

- Aasfar A., Bargaz A., Yaakoubi K., Hilali A., Bennis I., Zeroual Y., Kadmiri I.M., 2021. Nitrogen fixing *Azotobacter* species as potential soil biological enhancers for crop nutrition and yield stability. Frontiers in Microbiology, 12: 628379, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379.
- Andjelković S., Vasića T., Radovića J., Babića S., Markovića J., Zornića V., Djurić S., 2018. Abundance of *Azotobacter* in the soil of natural and artificial grasslands. Soil Science Society of Serbia, pp. 172-175.
- Aquilanti L., Mannazzu I., Papa R., Cavalca L., Clementi F., 2004. Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis for the characterization of *Azotobacteraceae*: a contribution to the study of these free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 57(2): 197-206, doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2004.01.006.
- Bag P.B., Panda P., Paramanik B., Mahato B., Choudhury A., 2017. Atmospheric nitrogen fixing capacity of *Azotobacter* isolate from Cooch Behar and Jalpaiguri districts soil of west Bengal, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(3): 1175-1788.
- **Beijerinck M.W., 1901.** Über ologonitrophile Mikroben. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie, Parasitenkunde Infektionskrankheiten und Hygiene, II Abt. 9: 561-582.
- Chen S-L, Tsai M-K, Huang Y-M., Huang Ch-H., 2018. Diversity and characterization of *Azotobacter* isolates obtained from rice rhizosphere soils in Taiwan. Annals of Microbiology, 68: 17-26.
- **Döbereiner J., 1966.** *Azotobacter paspali* sp. nov., umabactéria fixadora de nitrogênio na rizosfera dePas-palum. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileria 1: 357-365.
- Fenglerowa W., 1965. Simple method for counting *Azotobacter* in soil samples. Acta Microbiologica Polonica, 14 (2): 203.
- Gauri S.S., Mandal S.M., Mondal K.C., Dey S., Pati B.R., 2009. Enhanced production and partial characterization of an extracellular polysaccharide from newly isolated *Azotobacter* spp. SSB81. Bioresource Technology, 100(18): 4240-4243, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.064.
- Hindersah R., Kamaluddin N.N., Samanta S., Banerjee S., Sarkar S., 2020. Role and perspective of *Azotobacter* in crops productions. SAINS TANAH – Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology, 17(2): 170-179.
- Howey R.T., Lock C.M., Moore L.V.H., 1990. Subspecies names automatically created by Rule 46. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 40: 317-319.
- Jain D., Sharma J., Kaur G., Bhojiya A.A., Chauhan S., Sharma V., Suman A., Mohanty S.R., Maharjan E., 2021. Phenetic and molecular diversity of nitrogen fixating plant

growth promoting *Azotobacter* isolated from Semiarid regions of India. BioMed Research International, 4: 1-9, https:// doi.org/10.1155/2021/6686283.

- Janda J.M., Abbott S.L., 2007. 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification in the diagnostic laboratory: pluses, perils, and pitfalls. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 45(9): 2761-2764, doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01228-07.
- Jiménez D.J., Montana J.S., Martínez M.M., 2011. Characterization of free nitrogen fixing bacteria of the genus *Azotobacter* in organic vegetable-grown Colombian soils. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 42(3): 846-858, doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822011000300003.
- Jin H., Wang H., Zhang Y., Hu T., Lin Z., Liu B., Ma J., Wang X., Liu Q., Lin X., Xie Z., 2020. Description of Azotobacter chroococcum subsp. isscasi subsp. nov. isolated from paddy soil and establishment of Azotobacter chroococcum subsp. chroococcum subsp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 70(3): 2124-2131, doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004026.
- Khan I.U.H., Selvaraju S.B., Yadav J.S., 2005. Method for rapid identification and differentiation of the species of *Mycobacterium chelonae* complex based on 16S-23S rRNA gene internal transcribed spacer PCR-Restriction analysis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43(9): 4466-4472, doi: 10.1128/ JCM.43.9.4466-4472.2005.
- Khosravi H., Dolatabad H.K., 2020. Identification and molecular characterization of *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Azotobacter salinestris* using ARDRA, REP, ERIC, and BOX. Molecular Biology Reports, 47(1): 307-316, doi: 10.1007/ s11033-019-05133-7.
- Kizilkaya R., Sazak A., Sahin N., 2010. Isolation, characterisation and identification of native *Azotobacter* spp. strains. pp. 202-205. In: Proceedings of the International Soil Science Congress on Management of Natural Resources to Sustain Soil Health and Quality; eds.: Kizilkaya R., Gulser C., Dengiz O.; Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey,
- Kwon S-W., Park J-Y., Kim J-S., Kang J-W., Cho Y-H., Lim Ch-K., Parker M.A., Lee G-B., 2005. Phylogenetic analysis of the genera *Bradyrhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Rhizobium* and *Sinorhizobium* on the basis of 16S rRNA gene and internally transcribed spacer region sequences. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 55(1): 263-270, doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63097-0.
- Kozieł M., Gałązka A., 2021. Systematics and genomic analysis of bacteria of the genus *Azotobacter*. Postępy Mikrobiologii, 60(4): 299-308, doi: 10.21307/PM-2021.60.4.23.
- Krasil'nikov N.A., 1949. Guide to the bacteria and actinomycetes. Red. Akademia Nauk SSSR, Moscow.
- Lane D.J., 1991. 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E., Goodfellow M. (eds.) Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. New York: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 115-175.
- Lenart A., 2012. Occurrence, characteristics, and genetic diversity of *Azotobacter chroococcum* in various soils of southern Poland. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 21(2): 415-424.
- Lenart-Boroń A.M., Wolny-Koładka K.A., Boroń P.M., Mitka J.R., 2014. The molecular marker-based comparison of *Azotobacter* spp. populations isolated from industrial soils of Cracow-Nowa Huta steelworks (southern Poland) and the adjacent agricultural soils. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A, 49(9): 1054-1063, doi: 10.1080/1093452 9.2014.895558.

- Lipman J.G., 1903. Experiments on the transformation and fixation of nitrogen by bacteria. Report on the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, 24: 217-285.
- Lipman J.G., 1904. Soil bacteriological studies. Further contributions to the physiology and morphology of members of the *Azotobacter* group. Report on the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, 25: 237-289.
- Liu L., Yuan T., An Q., Yang M., Mao X., Mo C., Tan Z., Peng G., 2019. Azotobacter bryophylli sp. nov., isolated from the succulent plant Bryophyllum pinnatum. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 69: 1986-1922, doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003412.
- Liu Y., Liu C., Zheng W., Zhang X., Yu J., Gao Q., Hou Y., Huang X., 2008. PCR detection of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in infant formula based on 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 125(3): 230-235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.03.005.
- Lyszcz M., Gałązka A., 2017. Metody oparte o amplifikację DNA techniką PCR wykorzystywane w ocenie bioróżnorodności mikroorganizmów glebowych. Kosmos, 66(2): 193-206.
- Mahato S., Kafle A, 2018. Comparative study of *Azotobacter* with or without other fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat in Western hills of Nepal. Annals of Agrarian Science, 16: 250-256, doi: 10.1016/j.aasci.2018.04.004.
- Martyniuk S., 2008. The importance of biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in ecological agriculture. Journal of Research and Applications in Agricultural Engineering, 53(4): 9-14. (in Polish + summary in English)
- Martyniuk S., Martyniuk M., 2003. Occurrence of *Azotobacter* spp. in some Polish soils. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 12(3): 371-374.
- Mazinani Z., Asgharzadeh A., 2014. Genetic diversity of Azotobacter strains isolated from soils by amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis. Cytology and Genetics, 48(5): 293-301.
- Obele I.I., Danladi M.M., Akwashiki O., Owuna G., Peter O.E., Obiekezie S., Paul T., Kenneth E.I., Olokunle A-A., 2019. Isolation, identification and screening for nitrogen fixing activities by *Azotobacter chroococcum* isolated from Soil of Keffi, Nigeria as agent for bio-fertilizer production. Frontiers in Environmental Microbiology, 5(3): 70-76, doi: 10.11648/J.FEM.20190503.11.
- Page W., Shivprasad S., 1991. Azotobacter salinestris sp. nov., a sodium-dependent, microaerophilic, and aeroadaptive nitrogen-fixing bacterium. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 41(3): 369-376, https://doi. org/10.1099/00207713-41-3-369.
- Robson R.L., Jones R., Robson R.M., Schwartz A., Richardson T.H., 2015. Azotobacter Genomes: The Genome of Azotobacter chroococcum NCIMB 8003 (ATCC 4412). PLOS ONE, 10(6): 1-35, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0127997.

- Rubio E.J., Montecchia M.S., Tosi M., Cassán F.D., Perticari A., Correa O.S., 2013. Genotypic characterization of *Azotobacteria* isolated from Argentinean soils and plantgrowth-promoting traits of selected strains with prospects for biofertilizer production. The Scientific World Journal, article ID 519603, 12 pages, doi: 10.1155/2013/519603.
- Sivasakthi S., Saranraj P., Sivasakthivelan P., 2017. Biological nitrogen fixation by *Azotobacter* sp. – a review. Indo – Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 3(5): 1274-1284, doi: 10.22192/iajmr.2017.3.5.6.
- Subedi R., Khanal A., Aryal K., Chhetri L., Kandel B., 2019. Response of *Azotobacter* in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*) production at Lamjung, Nepal. Acta Scientifica Malaysia, 3(1): 17-20, doi: 10.26480/asm.01.2019.17.20.
- Sumbul A., Ansari R.A., Rizvi R., Mahmood I., 2020. *Azotobacter*: A potential bio-fertilizer for soil and plant health management. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 27(12): 3634-3640, doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.004.
- Swapna, Tamil Vendan K., Mahadevaswamy, Aswathanarayana D.S., Kisan B., Gundappagol R.C., 2018. Molecular characterization and identification of biocontrol isolates of *Azotobacter* sp. antagonistic to *Fusarium solani* in chilli crop. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience, 6(5): 549-555, http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6859.
- Tejera N., Lluch C., Martinez-Toledo M.V., Gonzalez-Lopez J., 2005. Isolation and characterization of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* strains from the sugarcane rhizosphere. Plant and Soil., 270: 223-232, doi: 10.1007/s11104-004-1522-7.
- Thomson J.P., Skerman V.B.D., 1979. Azotobacteraceae: the Taxonomy and Ecology of the Aerobic Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria. Academic Press London, New York, Toronto, Sydney, San Francisco.
- Wakarera P.W., Ojola P., Njeru E.M., 2022. Characterization and diversity of native *Azotobacter* spp. isolated from semiarid agroecosystems of Eastern Kenya. Biology Letters, 18(3): 20210612, doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2021.0612.
- Winand R., Bogaerts B., Hoffman S., Lefevre L., Delvoye M., Braekel J.V., Fu Q., Roosens N.H.C., De Keersmaecker S.C.J., Vanneste K., 2019. Targeting the 16S rRNA Gene for Bacterial Identification in Complex Mixed Samples: Comparative Evaluation of Second (Illumina) and Third (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) Generation Sequencing Technologies. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21(1): 298, doi: 10.3390/ijms21010298.
- Zhang X., Baars O., Morel F.M.M., 2019. Genetic, structural and functional diversity of low and high-affinity siderophores in strains of nitrogen fixing *Azotobacter chroococcum*. Metallomics, 11(1): 201-212, doi: 10.1039/c8mt00236c.
- Ziemięcka J., 1923. Występowanie azotobaktera w glebach polskich. Roczniki Nauk Rolniczych, 10: 1-78.

| Author | ORCID | received – 17 November 2023 |
|------------------|---------------------|--|
| Monika Kozieł | 0000-0001-7653-3610 | revised – 7 December 2023 |
| Anna Gałązka | 0000-0001-5504-5706 | accepted – 21 December 2023 |
| Stefan Martyniuk | 0000-0002-0579-2495 | Authors declare no conflict of interest. |



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike (CC BY-SA) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).